EXHIBIT 1

4/1/2021 12:56 PM

		Velva L. Price
		District Clerk
	D-1-GN-	21-001446 Travis County
CAUSE	NO	D-1-GN-21-001446
CAUSE	110.	──── Vic⁴aria Benavides
XAVIER HERRERA,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	353rd
V•	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	
PATRICK MITCHELL and	§	
SWIFT TRANSPORTATION	§	\'0
COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLC,	§	
Defendants.	8	TRAVE COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND JUKY DEMAND

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES XAVIER HERRERA, hereinaft r also called Plaintiff, complaining of PATRICK MITCHELL and SWIFT TRANSPORT AT ON COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLC, hereinafter also called Defendants, and for cause of action respectfully shows the Court the following:

DISCOVE LY CONTROL PLAN LEVEL

1. Pursuant to Rule 190 % of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery under Discovery Level 3.

PARTIES AND SERVICE

- 2. Plaintiff I aintiff XAVIER HERRERA ("Plaintiff"), is an individual and resident of the State of Tex.
- 3. Pefendant, PATRICK MITCHELL ("Defendant Mitchell"), is an individual and resident of the State of Mississippi who may be served with process pursuant to TEXAS RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 106(a) by delivering to Defendant, in person, and/or mailing to Defendant by tegistered or certified mail, return receipt requested, a true copy of the citation and petition at her residence: 1309 Topp Avenue, Jackson, Mississippi 39204; or wherever Defendant may be

found. In the alternative, Defendant Mitchell may be served with process pursuant to §17.062(a) of the Texas Rule of Civil Procedure by serving the chairman of the Texas Transportation Commission. Issuance of citation is requested at this time.

4. Defendant, SWIFT TRANSPORTATION COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLC ("Defendant Swift"), is a corporation authorized to do business in and doing business in the State of Texas and may be served with process by serving its registered agent: National Registered Agents, Inc. at 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900 Dallas, Texas 75201 or wherever Defendant may be found. Issuance of citation is requested at this time.

RULE 28 NOTICE

5. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, De er dant Swift is believed to have owned, operated, and/or done business as SWIFT TRANSPORTATION COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLC an assumed name business. Plaintiff brings his action against SWIFT TRANSPORTATION COMPANY OF ARIZONA, LLC and its alliases and relies upon Rule 28 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE in order to properly allentify this Defendant. Pursuant to Rule 28 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Defondant's true name may be substituted upon motion by any party or upon the Court's own motion.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 6. The subject matter in controversy is within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 7. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Mitchell pursuant to §17.042(2) of the TEXAS CIVIL PRACTICE AND REMEDIES CODE because Defendant Mitchell committed a tort in the State of Texas.
- 8. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Swift because they are a corporation that is authorized to do business in and are doing business in the State of Texas.

9. Venue in Travis County is proper in this cause under §15.002(a)(1) of the TETAS CIVIL PRACTICE AND REMEDIES CODE because all or a substantial part of the events of a subst

FACTS

- 10. This lawsuit arises from a motor vehicle collision that occurred on or about April 16, 2019 at or near IH-35 in Austin, Travis County, Texas.
- 11. On or about that date, Plaintiff was lawfully traveling on IH-35. At the same time and place Defendant Mitchell was travelling on IH-35 behinc Plaintiff, when suddenly and without warning, Defendant Mitchell failed to maintain an assure I clear distance forcefully rear-ending Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 12. The collision was directly ar 1 p oximately caused by the negligent acts and/or omissions of Defendant Mitchell as stated further herein.
- 13. As a direct and proximate result of the collision, Plaintiff sustained serious injuries and damages as stated further her and
- 14. Defendant Swift Swned and/or leased the vehicle that Defendant Mitchell was driving at the time of the collision. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mitchell was in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant Swift at the time of the collision.

RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR

15. At all times mentioned herein and material hereto, Defendant Mitchell was acting within the course and scope of his employment as an agent, servant, and/or employee of Defendant Sw. At all times mentioned herein and material hereto, Defendant Mitchell was acting within the scope of his authority, in furtherance of Defendant Swift's business, and for the

accomplishment of the object for which he was hired. Consequently, Defendant Swift is liable to Plaintiff under the doctrine of *respondeat superior* for the negligent acts and/or omissions of Defendant Mitchell, which directly and proximately caused the personal injurie. and other damages sustained by Plaintiff.

NEGLIGENCE OF DEFENDANT MITCHELL

I. General Negligence

- 10. At the time of the incident, Defendant Mitchell had the duty to exercise ordinary care in operating a motor vehicle and to act as a reasonably prud and operator. Defendant Mitchell breached those duties in one or more of the following ways.
 - a. Failing to control his speed;
 - b. Failing to timely apply the brales:
 - c. Failing to maintain an assured clear distance;
 - d. Failing to avoid strikin; Paintiff;
 - e. Failing to keep a proper Jokout;
 - f. Failing to take proper evasive action; and
 - g. Driving inattent ve'y.
- 11. Each and all of the pook acts and/or omissions constitute negligence and were the direct and proximate cause of the incident that forms the basis of this lawsuit. Each and all of the above acts and/or omissions directly and proximately caused the injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiff, which form the basis of this lawsuit.
 - 12. P'aintiff reserves the right to plead more specific acts and/or omissions in the future.

II. Negle, nce Per Se

Pursuant to the negligence per se doctrine, Defendant Mitchell failed to exercise the n andatory standard of care in violation of TEXAS TRANSPORTATION CODE §545.401 and \$545.062(c) by:

- a. Driving a vehicle in willful or wanton disregard for the safety or persons or property in violation of TEXAS TRANSPORTATION CODE §545.401; and
- b. Failing to allow sufficient space between the operator and the vehicle preceding the operator in violation of TEXAS TRANSPORTATION CODE §545.062(c).
- 14. Each and all of the above acts and/or omissions constitute negligence and were the direct and proximate cause of the incident that forms the basis of this lawsuit. Each and all of the above acts and/or omissions directly and proximately caused the injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiff, which form the basis of this lawsuit.
 - 15. Plaintiff reserves the right to plead more specific arts and/or omissions in the future.

DAMAGES

- 16. As a result of the negligence of Defencan, Mitchell, Plaintiff sustained injuries and other damages. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectively requests that the trier of fact determine the amount of her damages and award Plaintiff compensation for the following:
 - a. Past and future riedical expenses;
 - b. Past and future physical pain and suffering;
 - c. Past and future riental anguish;
 - d. Past and further physical impairment;
 - e. Past lost valges and future loss of earning capacity;
 - f. Pre-indgment and post-judgment interest; and
 - g. Taxable court costs.
- 17. Pursuant to Rule 47 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief of over \$1,000,000 including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, prejudgement in crest, and attorney fees.

JURY DEMAND

18. Pursuant to Rule 216 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff hereby cemands a jury trial.

REQUIRED DISCLOSURES

19. Pursuant to Rule 194 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Defendar's are required to disclose the information and materials described in Rule 194.2(b) of the T. VAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE within thirty (30) days of date that each Defendant's Original Answer is filed.

RULE 193.7 NOTICE

20. Pursuant to Rule 193.7 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff hereby gives notice that any and all documents produced by any party to a is cause in response to a written discovery request are self-authenticating and may be used a any pretrial proceeding or at the trial of this matter.

PRAYES

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully pray that Defendant be cited to appear and answer herein, and that coon a final hearing of the cause, judgment be entered for Plaintiff against Defendants for damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of the Court; together with pre-judgment interest (from the date of injury through the date of judgment) at the maximum rate allower by law; post-judgment interest at the legal rate; costs of court; and for such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled at law or in equity.

[SIGNATURE BLOCK ON NEXT PAGE]

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID KOMIE LAW, LLC

/s/ Casey J. Archer David A. Komie State Bar No. 00795998 david@davidkomie.law Casey J. Archer State Bar No. 24117161 casey@davidkomie.law Bertha A. Faudoa State Bar No. 24116343 bertha@davidkomie.lav 8656 West Highway 77 Building F, Suita Yu Austin, Texas 78735 (512) 338-0000 (512) 338-1972 [fax]

ATTOR TYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Bertha Faudoa on behalf of Casey Archer Bar No. 24117161 Bertha@davidkomie.law Envelope ID: 52057716 Status as of 4/6/2021 10:05 AM CST

Case Contacts

BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
	casey@davidkomie.law	4/1/2021 12:เรา. ั๋ว PM	SENT
	ruby@davidkomie.law	4/1/2021 1.3.5/:03 PM	SENT
	bertha@davidkomie.law	4/1/2021 \2:56:03 PM	SENT
		casey@davidkomie.law ruby@davidkomie.law bertha@davidkomie.law	casey@davidkomie.law 4/1/2021 12:05.72 PM ruby@davidkomie.law 4/1/2021 12:05 PM

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 52573921

Status as of 4/20/2021 8:31 AM CST

Case Contacts

Bertha Faudoa bertha@davidkomie.law 4/19/2021 9:08. AM SENT	Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Jnokicial copylination of the state of the s	Bertha Faudoa		bertha@davidkomie.law	4/19/2021 9:05. AM	SENT
Jrofficial coopyration of the state of the s					
Jnorticial copy of the state of					
Juditicial copy Lianis					
Molicial cold trails					
Jungificial coopyrights of the state of the					
Juditicial coopyrights Co.)	
JROSESTA CORNESSA COR			-O [*]		
Jnoricial colonial states and the states and the states are states are states are states and the states are states a			G		
			.6		
			1		
		0			
		(0)			
	•	10			
	0				